UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,193	02/27/2002	Ryan S. Steelberg	24207-11488	8212
62296 7590 12/12/2007 GOOGLE / FENWICK SILICON VALLEY CENTER 801 CALIFORNIA ST. MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041			EXAMINER	
			RAMPURIA, SHARAD K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MOONTAIN VIEW, CA 94041			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/086,193	STEELBERG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	sharad rampuria	2617
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	1	
Period for Reply		•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING C - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a rep I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. ly be timely filed AS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 (2) 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowards. 	s action is non-final.	s prosecution as to the morits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	-
Disposition of Claims	Expand quayio, 1000 0.B.	71, 100 0.0.210.
4) Claim(s) 31-50 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 31-50 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	• .
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appority documents have been read (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date mal Patent Application

10/086,193 Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

I. The Art Unit location of this application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

Continued Examination under 37 CFR 1.114

- II. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/31/2007 has been entered.
- III. The current office-action is in response to the Amendment After Non-Final Rejection filed on 10/31/2007.

Accordingly, Claims 1-30 are cancelled, thus, Claims 31-50 are imminent for further assessment as follows:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- IV. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2617

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 31-36, 41-47 & 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Tendler** [US 6778820], **Valentine et al.** [US 6011973] further in view of **Gimmon** [US 5096195 A].

As per claim 31, **Tendler** teaches:

A system for electronic gaming at locations remote from a gaming source authorized to host gaming services (Abstract), comprising:

A broadcast station (20; Fig.1) arranged to transmit game play signals in accordance with instructions from the gaming source; (18; Fig.1, Col.3; 32-38) and

The remote gaming device further having a location determination system arranged to determine a physical location of the remote gaming device, (Col.4; 38-55)

authorized gaming area. (Col.5; 11-24, Col.2; 51-Col.3; 5).

10/086,193 Art Unit: 2617

Wherein the remote gaming device is placed in an active condition for game play using the game play signals when the physical location of the remote gaming device is within the

Tendler specifically doesn't teaches a remote gaming device having a memory arranged to store location data defining an authorized gaming area for the gaming source, wherein the remote gaming device, wherein the remote gaming device determines whether the physical location of the device is within the authorized gaming area as defined by the geographic delimiters. However, Valentine teaches in an analogous art, that a remote gaming device having a memory arranged to store location data defining an authorized gaming area for the gaming source, wherein the remote gaming device, wherein the remote gaming device determines whether the physical location of the device is within the authorized gaming area as defined by the geographic delimiters. (e.g. the operating the device based on authorized location in the memory of the device; Col.2; 50-67) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify **Tendler** including a remote gaming device having a memory arranged to store location data defining an authorized gaming area for the gaming source, wherein the remote gaming device, wherein the remote gaming device determines whether the physical location of the device is within the authorized gaming area as defined by the geographic delimiters in order to provide a method and apparatus for restricting operation of cellular telephones to well delineated geographical areas. (Col.1; 56-67)

The above combination doesn't teach specifically, wherein the remote gaming device stores a credit balance, the credit balance representing funds available for game play. However, **Gimmon** teaches in an analogous art, that wherein the remote gaming device stores a credit

balance, the credit balance representing funds available for game play. (e.g. the remote gaming device stores a credit balance; Col.3; 34-44, Col.4; 60-67) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the above combination including wherein the remote gaming device stores a credit balance, the credit balance representing funds available for game play in order to provide a new type of electronic gaming apparatus particularly useful for simulating the slot machine game.

As per claims 32-33, 44, 46, **Tendler** teaches all the particulars of the claim except wherein the geographic delimiters is provided to the remote gaming device in response to registration of the remote gaming device. However, **Valentine** teaches in an analogous art, that the system of claims 31, 42, 45, wherein the geographic delimiters is provided to the remote gaming device in response to registration of the remote gaming device. (e.g. the operating the device based on authorized location in the memory of the device; Col.2; 50-67)

As per claims 34, 49, **Tendler** teaches:

The system of claims 31, 45, the remote gaming device further comprising: A receiver (80; Fig.1) arranged to receive the game play signals;

A processor (10; Fig.1) operatively connected to the receiver; and instructions, stored in memory and executable by the processor, arranged to cause graphical images depicting game play to be displayed on a display (10; Fig.1) of the remote gaming device. (Col.4; 38-55)

As per claim 35, **Tendler** teaches:

10/086,193 Art Unit: 2617

The system of claim 34, wherein the location determination system is operatively connected to the receiver. (14; Fig.2, Col.4; 38-55)

As per claims 36, 43, 47, **Tendler** teaches:

The system of claims 31, 42, 45, the remote gaming device further comprising:

A GPS device, wherein the location determination system is further arranged to determine the physical location of the remote gaming device based on an output of the GPS device. (14; Fig.2, Col.4; 38-55)

As per claim 41, **Tendler** teaches:

The system of claim 31, wherein the remote gaming device is arranged as a stand-alone purpose-built electronic gaming device. (10; Fig.1, Col.3; 32-37)

As per claim 42, **Tendler** teaches:

A method of facilitating electronic gaming at locations remote from a gaming source authorized to host gaming services (Abstract), comprising:

Activating a remote gaming device, wherein the activating includes; (Col.1; 66-Col.2; 10), and

Broadcasting to the remote gaming device game play signals in accordance with instructions from the gaming source, (18; Fig.1, Col.3; 32-38)

Wherein the remote gaming device is placed in an active condition for game play using the game play signals when a physical location of the remote gaming device is within the authorized gaming area. (Col.5; 11-24, Col.2; 51-Col.3; 5).

Tendler specifically doesn't teaches providing to the remote gaming device geographic delimiters defining an authorized gaming area for the gaming source wherein the remote gaming device determines whether the physical location of the device is within the authorized gaming area as defined by the geographic delimiters. However, Valentine teaches in an analogous art, that providing to the remote gaming device geographic delimiters defining an authorized gaming area for the gaming source wherein the remote gaming device determines whether the physical location of the device is within the authorized gaming area as defined by the geographic delimiters. (e.g. the operating the device based on authorized location in the memory of the device; Col.2; 50-67)

The above combination doesn't teach specifically, wherein the remote gaming device stores a credit balance, the credit balance representing funds available for game play. However, **Gimmon** teaches in an analogous art, that wherein the remote gaming device stores a credit balance, the credit balance representing funds available for game play. (e.g. the remote gaming device stores a credit balance; Col.3; 34-44, Col.4; 60-67)

As per claim 45, **Tendler** teaches:

A method of electronic game play at location remote from a gaming source authorized to host gaming services, comprising:

Defining an authorized gaming area for the gaming source; (Col.1; 66-Col.2; 10)

10/086,193

Art Unit: 2617

Determining with the remote gaming device a physical location of the remote gaming device; (Col.3; 46-62)

Receiving with the remote gaming device game play signals broadcasted in accordance with instructions from the gaming source; (18; Fig.1, Col.3; 32-38) and

Enabling game play using the game play signals when the physical location of the remote gaming device is within the authorized gaming area. (Col.5; 11-24, Col.2; 51-Col.3; 5).

Tendler specifically doesn't teaches storing with a remote gaming device geographic delimiters determines at the remote gaming device whether the physical location of the device is within the authorized gaming area as defined by the geographic delimiters. However, Valentine teaches in an analogous art, that storing with a remote gaming device geographic delimiters determines at the remote gaming device whether the physical location of the device is within the authorized gaming area as defined by the geographic delimiters. (e.g. the operating the device based on authorized location in the memory of the device; Col.2; 50-67)

The above combination doesn't teach specifically, wherein the remote gaming device stores a credit balance, the credit balance representing funds available for game play. However, **Gimmon** teaches in an analogous art, that wherein the remote gaming device stores a credit balance, the credit balance representing funds available for game play. (e.g. the remote gaming device stores a credit balance; Col.3; 34-44, Col.4; 60-67)

Claims 37-38 & 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Tendler and Valentine in further view of Clapper [US 20020168967] hereinafter Clapper.

10/086,193 Art Unit: 2617

As per claims 37, 48, **Tendler** and **Valentine** teaches all the particulars of the claim except wherein the location determination system is further arranged to determine the physical location of the remote gaming device based on radio frequency triangulation telemetry tracking. However, **Clapper** teaches in an analogous art, that the system of claims 31, 45, wherein the location determination system is further arranged to determine the physical location of the remote gaming device based on radio frequency triangulation telemetry tracking. [Pg.2; 0022] Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify **Tendler** and **Valentine** including wherein the location determination system is further arranged to determine the physical location of the remote gaming device based on radio frequency triangulation telemetry tracking in order to provide a distinctive technology to locate a mobile device.

As per claim 38, **Tendler** and **Valentine** teaches all the particulars of the claim except wherein data for the radio frequency triangulation telemetry tracking is received from the broadcast station. However, **Clapper** teaches in an analogous art, that the system of claim 37, wherein data for the radio frequency triangulation telemetry tracking is received from the broadcast station. [Pg.2; 0022]

Claims 39, 50 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Tendler** and **Valentine** further in view of **Kotzin** [US 6470180] *hereinafter* **Kotzin**.

10/086,193

Art Unit: 2617

As per claims 39, 50, **Tendler** and **Valentine** teaches all the particulars of the claim except wherein the game play signals are broadcast on a band selected from the group consisting of an FM band, an AM band, a television band, a satellite band, and a cellular band. However, Kotzin teaches in an analogous art, that the system of claims 31, wherein the game play signals are broadcast on a band selected from the group consisting of an FM band, an AM band, a television band, a satellite band, and a cellular band. (Col.3; 61-Col.4; 7) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify **Tendler** and **Valentine** including wherein the game play signals are broadcast on a band selected from the group consisting of an FM band, an AM band, a television band, a satellite band, and a cellular band in order to exploits a broadcast system to enhance a wireless gaming experience.

Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Tendler** and **Valentine** in view of **Thiriet** [US 20020168967] *hereinafter* **Thiriet**.

As per claim 40, **Tendler** and **Valentine** teaches all the particulars of the claim except wherein the remote gaming device is arranged as a smart card. However, Thiriet teaches in an analogous art, that the system of claim 31, wherein the remote gaming device is arranged as a smart card. (Col. 1; 55-64 & Col.2; 54-63) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify **Tendler** and **Valentine** including the player device is configured as a smart card in order to provide the capabilities available in a SIM card for executing computer game programs.

Art Unit: 2617

Response to Amendments & Arguments

V. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 31-50 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

VI. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharad Rampuria whose telephone number is (571) 272-7870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. (8:30-5 EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000 or EBC@uspto.gov.

> /Sharad Rampuria/ Patent Examiner Art Unit 2617